Immune development and environment: lessons from Amish and Hutterite children
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Children who grow up in traditional farm environments are protected from developing asthma and allergy. This ‘farm effect’ can be largely explained by the child’s early life contact with farm animals, in particular cows, and their microbes. Our studies in Amish and Hutterite school children living on farms in the U.S. have further demonstrated that this protection is mediated through innate immune pathways. Although very similar with respect to ancestry and many lifestyle factors that are associated with asthma risk, Amish and Hutterites follow farming practices that are associated with profound differences in the levels of house dust endotoxin, in the prevalence of asthma and atopy among school children, and in the proportions, phenotypes, and functions of immune cells from these children. In this review, we will consider our studies in Amish and Hutterite children in the context of the many previous studies in European farm children and discuss how these studies have advanced our understanding of the asthma-protective ‘farm effect’.
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Introduction
Childhood asthma is a complex disease of the airways characterized by inflammation, remodeling and hyperresponsiveness to stimuli [1,2]. Asthma affects 30 million people in the U.S. and is the most common chronic disease in childhood [3]. Wheezing symptoms develop in the first years of life, but most children with wheezing illnesses in infancy do not go on to develop asthma (transient wheeze). Because clinical manifestations of transient wheeze and asthma (persistent wheeze) are indistinguishable in early life, childhood asthma cannot be diagnosed with certainty before the age of 5, even though most childhood asthma likely begins in the first 3 years of life [4]. The development of atopic sensitization to food and inhalant allergens in the first years of life significantly contributes to the development of asthma [5,6,7], but epidemiologic patterns of asthma and atopy differ: the prevalence of atopy rises from infancy to preschool age and then it reaches a plateau [7]. Infections with rhinovirus (RV) and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) early in life are likewise strong determinants of subsequent asthma development [8,9].

Asthma and the farm effect
Asthma and allergic diseases have a strong environmental component, eloquently illustrated by the rapid rise of their prevalence in the Western world [10]. While, as mentioned above, some environmental exposures (for instance, respiratory viruses) are associated with asthma risk, others have been consistently found to confer protection [11]. Traditional farming in particular appears to have the most potent and consistent protective effects, especially when exposure occurs in early life or even perinatally [12,13]. Data from the PASTURE (Protection against Allergy STudy in Rural Environments) birth cohort enrolled in rural areas of Austria, Finland, France, Germany, and Switzerland demonstrated that early life exposure to certain farm characteristics, such as animal barns (particularly cows) and consumption of unprocessed cow’s milk, provided stronger protection than exposures occurring later in life [14]. Moreover, not only asthma, but also rhinitis, respiratory tract infections, otitis, fever and C-reactive protein levels at 12 months were reduced by about 30% following raw milk consumption in early life [12], a finding that significantly extends the scope of the protection provided by farm exposure. Interestingly, whereas the overall farm effect can be explained by specific exposures (cows, straw, and unprocessed farm milk for asthma, and fodder storage rooms and manure for atopic dermatitis), the link between the farm effect and hay fever and/or atopic sensitization remains incompletely understood.
Traditional, asthma-protective farms are rich in microbes that modulate immune responses. Consistent with this notion, several recent studies have focused on the connections among the farm effect, the microbiome and immune maturation, and their impact on asthma development. Work from the Netherlands [15,16,17**,18**] and Australia [18**] suggests that delayed maturation of immune responses and airway microbial communities contribute to the early development of asthma. The microbiome more generally has been linked to asthma development, with observations of dysbiosis in the gut and airways of asthma patients [19–25], higher relative abundance of Proteobacteria such as *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Moraxella catarrhalis* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* [20–22], greater diversity [20–22], and other alterations of microbial community structure and composition [23]. However, it remains unknown whether dysbiosis is a primary determinant of asthma development or is secondary to airway inflammation.

European farm studies have linked both the environmental and the host microbiome to asthma [24**,25**]. Asthma was associated with an altered nasal, but not throat, microbiota that was characterized by lower diversity and an abundance of *Moraxella* [24**]. Farm exposure in turn was positively associated with bacterial diversity in mattress dust samples as determined by richness ($P = 8.1 \times 10^{-6}$); asthma was inversely associated with richness (aOR = 0.48 [0.22–1.02]) in mattress dust and to a lower extent to richness in nasal samples (richness aOR 0.63 [0.38–1.06]) [25**].

**Asthma genetics and the farm effect**

Asthma risk is influenced not only by environmental exposures but also by genetic factors. To date, over 20 loci have been associated with asthma in large genome-wide association studies (GWAS) [26–36]. Many of these loci map to genes that encode molecules involved in immune responses (e.g. *IL33*, *IL1RL1*, *IL13*, *TSLP*, *HLA*) or transcription factors that mediate immune responses (e.g. *SMAD3*, *GATA3*, *RORA*, *STAT6*). However, the most significant and highly replicated associations are with single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at a novel locus on chromosome 17q21 that is characterized by extensive linkage disequilibrium (LD) spanning ~200 kb and encoding six genes. Two of these genes (*ORMDL3* and *GSMBD*) have emerged as the most likely candidates because SNPs associated with asthma in GWAS are expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) for these two co-regulated genes, primarily in blood cells [37–43]. Moreover, SNPs at this locus are robustly associated with childhood-onset asthma and asthma in children exposed to environmental tobacco smoke, with respiratory infections and hospitalizations, and with wheezing illnesses in early life [26,44–48], but not with allergic phenotypes [26,37,45,49,50] (with two exceptions [51,52]). In fact, the risk for asthma associated with genotype at the 17q21 locus is confined to children who wheeze in early life: the 17q21 genotype is not associated with asthma among children who do not wheeze in early life [37,53**]. Surprisingly, however, the 17q21 genotype is also associated with protection from wheezing in the first year of life and subsequent asthma among children exposed to barn animals [53**]. While neither the specific 17q21 gene(s) involved in risk or protection nor the role of *ORMDL3* or *GSMBD* in asthma pathogenesis is currently known, it is clear that this asthma locus modulates risk or protection associated with two of the most important environmental exposures associated with asthma: virus-induced wheezing illness in early life and exposure to farm animals. These observations support the possibility that the 17q21 locus indirectly impacts risk of childhood onset asthma through its direct effect on early life wheezing illnesses.

**Immune responses and the farm effect**

Mechanistically, the farm effect likely reflects the ability of farm exposures to modify both immune profiles at birth and immune maturation in early life. Indeed, differences between farm and non-farm children are already detectable in cord blood. For example, expression of the pattern recognition receptors TLR7 and TLR8 was already higher in cord blood of farm neonates [12], and enhanced expression of TLR5 and TLR9 was associated with a lower risk of developing atopic dermatitis later in life [54]. Furthermore, significantly higher levels of IFN-γ and TNF-α were found in farm compared to non-farm children after stimulation of cord blood mononuclear cells [55], and cord blood levels of fetal IgE correlated negatively with IFN-γ production [56]. Moreover, increased numbers and improved function of regulatory T cells were found in neonates of farming mothers compared to neonates born to non-farm mothers [57].

Innate immunity appears to represent a key target of the farm effect. Studies in European farm children have revealed increased expression of Toll-like receptor genes and their downstream signaling molecules in leukocytes from farm compared to non-farm children [12,58,59]. Increased expression of *IRAK4* and *RIPK1* partially explained the protective farm effect on asthma in the PARSIFAL Study [59]. Moreover, expression of the ubiquitin-modifying enzyme A20 in the airway epithelium was required to support the ability of dust extracts from European animal (cow) sheds to protect mice against experimental allergic asthma, and A20 mRNA and protein expression was significantly reduced in air liquid interface-cultured bronchial epithelial cells from mild and severe asthmatics compared with healthy controls [60**]. While Treg function and numbers are improved in farm neonates [57], how the altered innate immune response affects the development and function of adaptive immunity in farm children remains largely unexplored.
A tale of two U.S. farming populations

The latest chapter in the evolving story of the ‘farm effect’ is based on data gathered by comparing and contrasting two U.S. farming populations characterized by striking similarities in their histories and lifestyles and striking differences in asthma prevalence. Amish farm children from Indiana have an even lower prevalence of asthma (5.2%) and allergic sensitization (7.2%) than Swiss farm children (6.8% and 25.2%, respectively) [61], while Hutterite farm children from South Dakota have a higher prevalence of asthma (21.3%) and allergic sensitization (33.3%) [62]. These observations were unexpected because of the similarities between these two populations (Box 1). However, the Amish live on single-family dairy farms and use traditional farming methods that rely on horses for field work and transportation, whereas the Hutterites live on large, communal farms of approximately 15–25 families and utilize modern, highly industrialized farming technologies. These observations led us to ask why the ‘farm effect’ in Amish children was so pronounced, while Hutterite children lacked the asthma-protection and allergy-protection typically conferred by

Box 1 The Amish and Hutterite populations

The Amish and Hutterite populations arose during the Anabaptist movement in 16th century Switzerland (Amish) and the South Tyrol (Hutterites). Owing to religious persecution, members of these groups migrated to the United States. The Amish emigrated in the 18th and 19th centuries and settled on single family farms in Pennsylvania, Ohio and Indiana. The Hutterites emigrated in the 19th century and settled on three communal farms in South Dakota. The sizes of both populations have grown rapidly due to high rates of fertility and strong values for large families. As of 2015, there were more than 270 000 Amish living in 24 U.S. states, although 65% still live in Pennsylvania, Ohio and Indiana. In 2010, there were over 45 000 Hutterites living in 475 communal farms (called colonies) in the U.S. (South Dakota, Montana, eastern Washington, western Minnesota, North Dakota) and Canada (Manitoba, Alberta, Saskatchewan).

Both populations have retained traditional lifestyles based on their strict interpretation of the bible. Their primary language is their original Swiss/German (Amish) or Tyrolean/German (Hutterites) dialect. Children learn English when they start Amish-only or Hutterite-only schools in the 1st grade and graduate after the 8th grade. In addition to both having large sibship sizes, the Amish and Hutterite populations are very similar with respect to many of the known asthma risk or protective factors. For example, they both have diets rich in fat, salt, and raw milk, low rates of childhood obesity, long durations of breast-feeding, minimal exposure to tobacco smoke and air pollution, and taboos against indoor pets, TV, and Internet. However, these two populations differ in one very important respect. The Amish avoid all modern technology and, as a result, they practice traditional single family dairy farming and use horses for fieldwork and transportation. A variety of farm animals are kept in addition to cows, such as horses, poultry, rabbits, and other birds to which all children of both sexes are exposed from an early age. In contrast, the Hutterites live on large communal farms and embrace modern technology. Their industrial-sized farms can house up to 100 000 turkeys, 20 000 hogs, and 600 cows, although the number and types of animals on each colony vary considerably. Because of the size of the Hutterite barns and their distance from their homes, young Hutterite children are not exposed to farm animals or barns. Moreover, due to their strict division of labor, girls and women have little exposure to the animals and barns throughout their lives.

Aerial photographs of an Amish single-family farm (left) and a Hutterite communal farm (right), shown at the same scale. Note the close proximity of the Amish barns (B) to their homes (H), and that there are no barns within the area shown in the photograph of the eight Hutterite homes (each including two–four single family homes).
being raised on a farm [14,63–65]. By addressing this question, our study provided many important insights into the mechanistic pathways through which protection may be mediated.

We focused our studies on 30 Amish and 30 Hutterite school children who were balanced for age and gender, and sampled in November and December of 2012. Our primary objective was to assess the prevalence of asthma (by questionnaire) and atopy (by the presence of serum allergen-specific IgE) in these children, and determine whether differences existed in their environment (as assessed by measuring levels of endotoxin and allergens in house dust) and immune response profiles (characterized as whole blood gene expression, cytokine responses, and immune cell phenotypes) [66**].

After ruling out the possibility that the large disparity in the prevalence of asthma and allergy observed between Amish and Hutterite children was rooted in genetic differences (Figure 1a), we turned our attention to differences in environmental exposures. To this end, we collected airborne dust from 10 Amish and 10 Hutterite homes using electrostatic dust collectors (EDCs), as in the GABRIEL Advanced Study [64]. Allergen levels were not different among these homes, but median levels of endotoxin were 6.7-fold higher in the Amish homes (Figure 1b). Moreover, 16S rRNA sequencing in a pooled sample of mattress dust from each population revealed a greater relative abundance of the bacterial phylum *Proteobacteria* in the Amish dust and greater relative abundance of *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* in Hutterite dust. Collectively, these data showed that microbial burden and composition differ between the Amish and Hutterite home environments.

To explore the impact of these distinct environments on immune profiles, we assessed clinical phenotypes, cell proportions and phenotypes, and gene expression in peripheral blood leukocytes (PBLs) from the Amish and Hutterite children. None of the Amish children and six (20%) of the Hutterite children had asthma, and total serum IgE levels and numbers of children with high (>3.5 kUA/L) levels of IgE against common allergens were lower in Amish than in Hutterite children, similar to our earlier studies [61,62].

Notably, circulating innate immune cells from Amish children had increased proportions of neutrophils and decreased proportions of eosinophils, but similar proportions of monocytes compared to Hutterite children (Figure 2a). When we phenotyped PBLs from Amish and Hutterite children by flow cytometry, we found that the expression of the chemokine receptor CXCR4 and the adhesion molecules CD11b and CD11c on the surface of neutrophils was lower in Amish children, suggesting that these cells represented a less mature developmental stage. Although monocyte proportions were similar in Amish and Hutterite children, monocytes from Amish children expressed HLA-DR at lower levels and the inhibitory molecule ILT3 at higher levels, a pattern compatible with a suppressive phenotype [67,68]. Collectively, these analyses revealed profound quantitative and qualitative differences in the development and functional properties of innate immune cells in Amish and Hutterite children, likely reflecting the distinct environments to which these children are exposed in early life. In contrast to previous studies in European farm children [57,69], however, Amish and Hutterite children did not differ in the percentage of T regulatory cells, defined as CD3*CD4*FoxP3*CD127− (0.056 ± 0.054% versus 0.079 ± 0.081% of PBLs, respectively, *P* = 0.29).

Differences in PBL proportions in Amish and Hutterite children were also reflected in their gene expression profiles: the expression of 1449 genes was higher in Amish PBLs and 1360 genes were higher in Hutterite PBLs (false discovery rate [FDR] of 1%), (Figure 2b). These differentially expressed genes formed 15 co-expression modules [70]. When we used Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) to construct unsupervised protein-protein interaction networks, the most significant network was within a module that was associated with Amish and Hutterite membership (*P* = 7.1 × 10^{-6}), as well as with neutrophil (*P* = 1.5 × 10^{-3}) and eosinophil (*P* = 1.0 × 10^{-3}) proportions. Major hubs in this network were TNF and IRF7, key proteins in the innate immune response to microbial stimuli (Figure 2c). Seventeen of the 18 network genes were more highly expressed in PBLs from Amish children, and among them was *TNFAIP3*, which encodes A20, a ubiquitin-editing enzyme critical to limit the activity of multiple NF-κB-dependent inflammatory pathways [71] and previously implicated in the asthma protective effect of European farm dust in a murine model [60**]. The one gene that was more highly expressed in PBLs from Hutterite children was *TRIM8*, a positive regulator of TNFα-dependent and IL-1β-dependent NF-κB activation [72]. Thus, our integrated analysis of the proportions and transcriptional activity of peripheral blood immune cells in Amish and Hutterite children highlighted as a feature prominent in Amish children an enrichment in innate immunity genes involved in the response to microbes, both bacteria and viruses.

To place the immune profiles detected in Amish and Hutterite children in a more mechanistic context and further understand the role of innate immunity in asthma protection, we compared the activity of Amish and Hutterite house dust extracts in a classic ovalbumin (OVA)-driven mouse model of asthma. Consistent with the lack of protection observed in Hutterite children, mice treated with OVA and Hutterite dust extracts developed substantial broncho-alveolar lavage (BAL) eosinophilia and
Ancestries and Environments of Amish and Hutterite Children. (a) Amish and Hutterite children have similar genetic ancestries. Principal components plot of the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2) of 72,034 SNPs. Amish and Hutterite genotypes are shown relative to European individuals from the Human Genome Diversity Project (HGDP). (b) Box-and-whisker plots of endotoxin levels in airborne dust from 10 Amish and 10 Hutterite homes. The horizontal lines show median values, the box represents the interquartile range, and whiskers show the 95% confidence interval. The $P$ value was calculated from the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. EU, endotoxin units. From New England Journal of Medicine: [66*]. Copyright © 2016 Massachusetts Medical Society. Reprinted with permission.
Amish and Hutterite peripheral blood cells differ with respect to composition and gene expression patterns. (a) The percentages of total peripheral-blood leukocytes were determined by flow cytometry for neutrophils (defined as CD66b+CD16+), eosinophils (defined as CCR3+Siglec-8+), and monocytes (defined as CD14+CD66b−). Box-and-whisker plots show a line indicating median value, with the box showing the interquartile range and whiskers showing the 95% confidence interval. (b) A volcano plot showing the differences in gene expression in peripheral blood leukocytes from Amish and Hutterite children. The x axis shows the log2 differences in gene-expression level between groups; larger positive values are genes with higher expression in the Hutterites compared to the Amish (1360 genes, shown as red points) and larger negative values are genes with higher expression in the Amish compared to the Hutterites (1449 genes, shown as blue points). The y axis shows the −log10 of the P values for each gene. The black horizontal line shows the 1% false discovery rate. (c) The most significant network of differentially expressed genes. Genes shown in blue had increased expression in Amish children; the gene shown in red had increased expression in Hutterite children. The gene shapes represent the type of each gene’s protein product (spirals = enzymes, v-shape = cytokines, conjoined circles = transcription regulators, hollow upside-down triangles = kinases, cups = transporters, circles = other products). Solid lines indicate direct interaction and dashed lines indirect interaction. Arrows indicate the direction of activation, arrows with a horizontal line direction of activation and inhibition, and lines without arrows indicate binding only. Modified from New England Journal of Medicine: [66**]. Copyright © 2016 Massachusetts Medical Society. Reprinted with permission.

Airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) at levels even higher than those measured in mice that received OVA alone (Figure 3a). In contrast, intra-nasal administration of Amish dust extracts was sufficient to significantly inhibit OVA-induced AHR, BAL eosinophilia, and OVA-specific IgE (Figure 3a,b). All of these protective effects required innate immunity because they were strongly reduced in MyD88-deficient mice (Figure 3c) and completely abrogated in mice lacking both MyD88 and Trif, molecules critical for multiple innate immune signaling pathways.
Effects of Amish and Hutterite House-Dust Extracts on Airway Responses in Mouse Models of Allergic Asthma. Panel (a) shows the effects of the intranasal instillation of 50 µl of Amish or Hutterite dust extract in 7-week-old mice (BALB/c strain) every 2–3 days for a total of 14 times beginning at day 0. The mice were sensitized with ovalbumin (OVA) intraperitoneally on days 0 and 14 and challenged with ovalbumin intranasally on days 28 and 38. Airway resistance (shown as centimeters of water per milliliter per second and stimulated in response to increasing doses of acetylcholine administered intravenously) and bronchoalveolar-lavage (BAL) cellularity were measured on day 39 (4–6 mice per group). The total amount of Amish and Hutterite dust extract administered over the course of the experiment represented the total load of airborne dust deposited on electrostatic dust collectors placed in Amish or Hutterite homes for 1 month. Statistical differences in experimental measures were assessed with the use of Student’s t-test. Amish house-dust extracts (7.5 mg of dust equivalent in 50 µl) were instilled intranasally every 2-3 days for a total of 14 times beginning 5 days before day 0 into 7-week old wildtype mice (Panel (b)), mice deficient in MyD88 (Panel (c)), and mice deficient in MyD88 and Trif (Panel (d)) (all C57BL6 strains). These mice were sensitized intraperitoneally with 20 µg of ovalbumin on days 0 and 14 and were challenged intranasally with 75 µg of ovalbumin on days 28, 27, and 28. Airway resistance (shown as an increase from baseline in response to increasing doses of nebulized methacholine) and bronchoalveolar-lavage cellularity were measured on day 30 (12 mice per group for wildtype mice and 6 mice per group for those deficient in MyD88 or MyD88 and Trif). Statistical differences in experimental measures were assessed with the use of Student’s t-test. Bars represent the standard errors of the data. NS denotes not significant and PBS phosphate-buffered saline. From New England Journal of Medicine: [66**]. Copyright © 2016 Massachusetts Medical Society. Reprinted with permission.

(Figure 3d). That administration to mice of airborne dust collected in Amish and Hutterite homes was sufficient to protect from or enhance experimental asthma, respectively, and result in immune profiles consistent with those seen in Amish and Hutterite children, supports the critical contribution of the environment to the disparate rates of asthma in these two farming populations.

However, a robust asthma-protective potential exists not only in the Amish but also within the Hutterite farm environment. Indeed, in a subsequent study we demonstrated that inhalation of dust extracts from Hutterite barns could inhibit allergen-induced AHR, BAL eosinophilia and specific IgE as effectively as inhalation of Amish barn dust extract [73]. These results suggest to us that lack of protection among Hutterite children (21.3% of whom are asthmatic) may be due not to the absence of protective exposures from their farms, but to a lack of access to these protective exposures. In fact, before the age of 6 Hutterite children do not spend time near farm animals or in the barns, in part because the latter are located at much greater distances from their homes than are Amish barns (Box 1).

**Ongoing questions and future directions**
The remarkable genetic similarities between Amish and Hutterite children, and the opposite effects their house dust has on airway responses and inflammation in mouse models, suggest that environmental exposures confer strong protection from asthma and allergies among the Amish by engaging innate immune responses, whereas
the lack of such exposures and/or the presence of unidentified risk exposures promotes asthma risk among the Hutterites. However, a number of critical questions remain. First, our studies were performed at school age, when protection from childhood-onset asthma and atopy is already established. As a result, we were unable to investigate the impact of the environment on immune maturation in different groups of children. Second, because we examined only 30 Amish and 30 Hutterite children, we could not evaluate differences between children with asthma or allergies. Third, our immune profiling studies were limited by both technological constraints and small amounts of blood cells that prevented functional studies. Fourth, the design of our study did not allow us to investigate how the airway mucosa — a plausible and even likely target of environmental effects [74] — contributes to asthma protection. And last, but not least, although we have strong supportive evidence that the protective exposures are microbial in nature, we do not know which bacteria, fungi and/or archaea are involved, which metabolites play a protective role, and the sources of these microorganisms and metabolites.

Closing remarks
Taken together, our studies on farm and non-farm children across Europe, and Amish and Hutterite farm children from U.S. communities that utilize distinct farming practices indicate that early life exposure to airborne substances present in animal barns protects against allergic asthma by engaging and shaping innate immune pathways. Many fundamental questions remain unanswered, but intensive investigation in research laboratories around the world will likely provide some answers over the next several years.
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